SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, JULY 17, 2003 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S016719

PEOPLE v. YEOMAN (RALPH MICHAEL) Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full

Majority Opinion by Werdegar, J.
--- joined by George, C.J., Kennard, Baxter,
Chin, Brown, Moreno, JJ.
Concurring Opinion by Kennard, J.

S109642

A096442 First Appellate District, Division Five GUILLORY v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full

Majority Opinion by Brown, J.
--- joined by George, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Moreno, JJ.

S024471

PEOPLE v. WATSON (PAUL G.) Extension of time granted

to September 15, 2003 to file appellant's opening brief. The court anticipates that after that date, only two further extensions totaling 120 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S024833

PEOPLE v. FARLEY (RICHARD) Extension of time granted

to July 29, 2003 to file the reply brief. After that date, no further extension will be granted. Extension granted based upon counsel David L. Saine's representation that he anticipates filing the brief by 7-29-2003.

SAN FRANCISCO JULY 17, 2003 1133

S030402

PEOPLE v. TULLY (RICHARD C.) Extension of time granted

to August 18, 2003 to file appellant's opening brief. After that date, no further extension will be granted. Extension granted based upon counsel James S. Thomson's representation that he anticipates filing the brief by 8-18-2003.

S062259

PEOPLE v. SCULLY (ROBERT W.) Extension of time granted

to September 22, 2003 to request correction of the record. The court anticipates that after that date, only two further extensions totaling 120 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform the Sonoma County Superior Court and his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record of this schedule, and take all steps necessary to meet it.

Counsel for appellant is ordered to serve a copy of the record correction motion on this court upon its filing in the superior court.

S069959

PEOPLE v. LEWIS (MICHAEL) Extension of time granted

to September 26, 2003 to file appellant's opening brief. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated. Extension granted based upon counsel Tara Allen's representation that she anticipates filing the brief by 1-20-2004.

S109983

RAMOS (MARCELINO) ON H.C. Extension of time granted

to August 19, 2003 to file reply to informal response. After that date, only three further extensions totaling 90 additional days will be granted. Extension granted based upon

SAN FRANCISCO JULY 17, 2003 1134

Deputy Federal P.D. Margo Rocconi's representation that she anticipates filing the document by 11-20-2003.

S112103

MARTINEZ (OMAR) ON H.C. Extension of time granted

to July 23, 2003 to file informal response. After that date, no further extension will be granted. Extension granted based upon Deputy AG Carlson LeGrand's representation that he anticipates filing the document by July 23, 2003.

S115090

C038802 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. CARMONY

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief is extended to and including August 4, 2003.

S028339

PEOPLE v. SMITH (GREGORY C.) Order filed

court's 150-day statement

S116288

B159591 Second Appellate District, Division Four CRONUS INVESTMENTS v. CONCIERGE SVCS. Order filed

In order to conform to the format prescribed by then Calif. Style Manual (Cal. Style Manual (4th ed. 2000) #6:6), the matter is retitled as follows:

CRONUS INVESTMENTS, INC.

Plaintiff, Cross-defendant and Appellant,

 ν .

CONCIERGE SERVICES, LLC,

Defendant, Cross-complainant and Respondent; WESTREC MARINA MANAGEMENT et al.,

Defendants and Respondents;

HOWARD JON COLMAN,

Cross-defendant and Appellant.

SAN FRANCISCO JULY 17, 2003 1135

S117527

IN THE MATTER OF THE SUSPENSION OF ATTORNEYS PURSUANT TO RULE 962, CALIFORNIA RULES OF COURT Order filed

The Executive Director/Secretary of the State Bar of California having on the **15th day of July 2003** filed in this court its resolution recommending suspension from practice and membership in the State Bar of California of the members hereinafter named whose names appear on the certified list prepared by the California Department of Child Support Services as being in arrears in payment of support obligations and who have failed to obtain a release from the appropriate local Child Support agency; and it being provided by Family Code section 17520 that any member so failing must be suspended from membership;

IT IS ORDERED that the persons hereinafter named are and each of them is suspended from membership in the State Bar of California and from the rights and privileges of an attorney to act from and after August 18, 2003;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon receipt by the State Bar of California of a release issued by the appropriate local Child Support agency pursuant to Family Code section 17520, the State Bar shall certify the fact of the receipt of such release to the Clerk of the Supreme Court and the suspension shall be terminated by order of this Court and such person shall be fully restored to membership in the State Bar of California, and to all rights and privileges, duties and responsibilities incident thereto;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that until restored as above provided each of the persons named shall be precluded from practicing as an attorney at law, or an attorney or agent of another in and before all the courts, commissions and tribunals of this state, and from holding oneself out to the public as an attorney or counsel at law.

(LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO ORIGINAL ORDER)